



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,895	06/27/2003	Barrett M. Kreiner	BELL-0193/02242	7209
45695	7590	11/22/2006	EXAMINER	
WITHERS & KEYS FOR BELL SOUTH P. O. BOX 71355 MARIETTA, GA 30007-1355			VU, TUAN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/607,895	KREINER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuan A. Vu	2193	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Tuan A. Vu. (3) _____
 (2) Alton Hornsby. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 November 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant's representative did provide a summary as to what the Invention amounts to in terms of providing 2 platforms with a translation process using XML in between to enable one platform to execute instruction at the other platform. The examiner has asked if more specifics can be imparted to this translation unit in terms of it being integrated inside a device driver; and also suggested was how to set forth the paradigm by which instruction incompatibility in one source platform can be resolved via the use this XML-based metadata transmitted to the target platform, whereby that source instruction can be remotely executed by the source platform based on the retranslation from the XML to convert the source instruction into a form fit for execution at the target platform. The Examiner has suggested that for each action a context has to set out what is executing, what is being executed upon, and what is the operation being performed; e.g. the remote call executed from the first platform executing the translated instruction being loaded at the target platform. Also mentioned was the issue as to whether some patentable weight can be provided in form of specifics about how the conversion into a XML format is done; and the mirroring reverse process at the receiving end to yield the converted instruction compatible with the target platform. It has been agreed that not until the claims are effectively changed to hopefully reflect these suggestions do the Examiner have sufficient grounds for establishing any patentability for the case in light of known concepts, that is, of using XML as platform independent medium to carry metadata between otherwise incompatible executing platforms..